

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,950	09/14/2000	Arto Leppisaari	442-009779-US(PAR)	9662
7.	590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Perman & Green			MEW, KEVIN D	
425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06430-6232			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2664	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/661,950	LEPPISAARI ET AL.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	()				
	Kevin Mew	2664					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ?						
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	ļ. ģ					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in t	f the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See next page.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	renewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:			•				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Regarding claims 1-14, 16-17, Chang discloses the Packet Channel Request message, being sent over the Packet Random Access Channel, indicates the request of access type for data transfer, page response, measurement report, and etc. It is further interpreted by the Examiner that data transfer, page response, and measurement report are considered as real-time services (see col. 4, lines 31-37).

Regarding claim 15, the Applicant's failure to acknowledge the Ejaranne's invention as commonly owned by the common assignee Nokia Mobile Phones in the first amendent is considered as admission of the fact that Ejaranne is a prior art that can be used in the rejection of claim 15 as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).